The reader is referred to the following source: Shapiro, I. I., The Prediction of Ballistic Missile Trajectories from Radar Observations (McGraw-Hill Book Co. Inc., New York, 1958), pp. 93–98.

## Comment on "Orbit Decay Characteristics Due to Drag"

Jain-Ming Wu\*

California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, Calif.

RECENT paper by Parsons¹ calculates the rotation of line of apsides and the other orbital characteristics purely due to the atmospheric drag for both small and large values of the eccentricity  $\epsilon$  of the trajectory. However, in his initial setup of the equations of motion, he neglected a dr/dt term which is of the order of  $\epsilon$ . This can be shown from the Keplerian trajectory, the radius, r:

$$r = \frac{a(1 - \epsilon^2)}{1 - \epsilon \cos \theta} = \frac{[r^2(d\theta/dt)]^2}{g_0 R^2 (1 - \epsilon \cos \theta)}$$
 (1)

where  $\theta$  is the angle of polar coordinates,  $g_0$  is the gravitational acceleration at sea level, R is the radius of the earth, and a is one half of the sum of perigee and apogee radius. So,

$$\frac{dr}{dt} = \frac{a(1 - \epsilon^2)\epsilon \sin\theta}{(1 - \epsilon \cos\theta)^2} \frac{d\theta}{dt} = \epsilon \sin\theta \left[ \frac{g_0 R^2}{a(1 - \epsilon^2)} \right]^{1/2}$$
 (2)

Hence, dr/dt is the order of  $\epsilon$ . Thus, his resulting solutions are in error for terms of order  $\epsilon^2$  and higher, and the final conclusions are valid only for orbits of small eccentricity.

Received February 11, 1963.

\* Graduate Student, Graduate Aeronautical Laboratories.

1 Parsons, W. D., "Orbit decay characteristics due to drag," ARS J. 32, 1876-1881 (1962).

## Author's Reply to Comment by Jain-Ming Wu

W. D. Parsons\*

Space General Corporation, El Monte, Calif.

WU'S comment is well taken, as far as it goes. How-ever, as pointed out in the subject paper, the neglected term,  $(dr/dt)^2$  [Eqs. (3) and (4)], is multiplied by the air density  $\rho$ , which decreases swiftly in an exponential manner as the  $(dr/dt)^2$  values increase significantly. Moreover, the slight effect of neglecting the  $(dr/dt)^2$  is to reduce the drag impulse so that the magnitude of the derived results may be

At the risk of belaboring the point, an extreme numerical example, given below, shows the uselessness of retaining the  $(dr/dt)^2$  terms. Wu shows that

$$\left(\frac{dr}{dt}\right)^2 = \frac{\epsilon^2 g_0 R^2}{a(1 - \epsilon^2)} \sin^2 \theta \tag{1}$$

Received February 28, 1963.

In a similar manner

$$\left(r \frac{d\theta}{dt}\right)^2 = g_0 R^2 \frac{(1 + \epsilon \cos \theta)^2}{a(1 - \epsilon^2)} \tag{2}$$

The value of  $\theta$ , where the total speed is in error by, say, 1% due to the neglect of the  $(dr/dt)^2$ , is calculated using Eqs. (1) and (2). Recognizing that the last term under the radical is very small compared to unity, expansion gives

$$\left[1 + \frac{(dr/dt)^2}{(rd\theta/dt)^2}\right]^{1/2} = 1.01 = 1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\epsilon^2 \sin^2 \theta}{(1 + \epsilon \cos \theta)^2}$$
 (3)

Since Wu is concerned about the large eccentricities, Eq. (3) is studied, using the extreme case of the escape parabola where  $\epsilon = 1$ .

$$\frac{\sin^2\theta}{(1+\cos\theta)^2} = \frac{1}{50} = \frac{1-\cos\theta}{1+\cos\theta} \tag{4}$$

This relation shows that the  $(dr/dt)^2$  term becomes as important as 1% of the total velocity at  $\theta \cong 16^{\circ}$ .

Consider next the altitude change that occurs during that 16° of motion. The equation of the parabola for the extreme case of perigee at the earth's surface is

$$r = 2R_0/(1 + \cos\theta) \tag{5}$$

The altitude is determined, using Eqs. (4) and (5) as

$$r - R_0 = R_0 \left[ \frac{1 - \cos \theta}{1 + \cos \theta} \right] = \frac{R_0}{50} \approx 69 \text{ naut miles}$$
 (6)

Since the density decreases by the factor e about every 23 naut miles,  $\rho$  is down by the factor  $e^{-3} = \frac{1}{20}$ .

Therefore, it seems reasonable to suggest that the error in describing the drag, due to neglecting the  $(dr/dt)^2$  term, occurs at altitudes high enough to cause a negligible effect on the total drag pulse.

## Comments on a Hanging Soap Film

F. E. C. Culick\*

California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, Calif.

IN a recent note, an apparent paradox has been encountered in the study of a soap film hanging on a horizontal circular frame. If one assumes that the "tensile force per unit length" within the film is constant at every point, the equations for static equilibrium of an element of area are not consistent. It is known, however (see, e.g., Ref. 2), that films, foams, etc., are stable in a gravitational field only if the surface energy (i.e., surface tension) is variable over the surface. The surface energy for a pure substance depends, essentially, on the temperature only, whereas for a liquid mixture it depends strongly on the relative concentrations of the constituents as well.

Films such as that under consideration are observed to be stable only if a liquid mixture, e.g., a soap solution, is used. Hence, when the equilibrium conditions are investigated, variations in the surface tension must be accounted for; the simplest example is a flat vertical film. In the present case, one may take T (in the notation of Ref. 1) to be a function of r only. The equations of horizontal and vertical equilibrium subsequently are found to be

$$\frac{d\phi}{dr} + \frac{\tan\phi}{r} \left( 1 - \frac{d \ln T}{d \ln r} \right) = 0$$

Received January 14, 1963.

<sup>\*</sup> Assistant Senior Engineer, Aeromechanics Department.

1 Parsons, W. D., "Orbit decay characteristics due to drag," ARS J. 32, 1876-1881 (1962).

<sup>\*</sup> Research Fellow, Guggenheim Jet Propulsion Center.